On Improved Representation of MBL Clouds in ARW–WRF with a Modified Tiedtke Cumulus Parameterization Scheme

Yuqing Wang and Chunxi Zhang

International Pacific Research Center University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii PreVOCA regional simulations using WRF
 UCLA and UCHILE both used ARW–WRF as the regional climate model. Their mean geographic distributions of cloud fraction and boundary layer depth are biased compared to the observations.

 iRAM (IPRC Regional Atmospheric Model) has shown its skill in simulating marine low-clouds over the eastern Pacific.

4. Implementation of a modified Tiedtke scheme into ARW– WRF

- Tiedtke (1989), moisture convergence closure
- Nordeng (1995), CAPE closure
- Roeckner et al. (1996), ECHAM4, cloud-top detrained hydrometeor partitioned into liquid and ice phases

$$f_{liq} = a + (1 - a)e^{-b(T - T_0)^2}$$

♦ Wang et al. (2003), convective trigger $\overline{RH} \ge RH_c$

Wang et al. (2004, 2007), adjusted entrainment rates for shallow/deep convection based on LES results.

5. Model Configuration and Experimental design

ARW–WRF version 3.2.1 October 2006

27 km horizontal resolution and 31 full σ -levels in the vertical (14 levels below 700hPa and model top at 50hPa)

320*300 horizontal grid points9 grid points in the buffer zone on each side

Physics Schemes

Cloud microphysics: WSM6 Land Surface Model: Noah Short and long wave radiation: CAM Daily SST update, Diurnal cycle in SST Gravity wave drag



Cloud fraction below 3km height

30°N

15°N

0°

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

Cu param affects SE Pac cloud frac

Tiedtke scheme also reduces E Pac circulation biases

MODIS cloud fraction









135°W 120°W 105°W 90°W

75°W 60°W

(b) KFETA

Cu param strongly affects 20S vertical structure

5 days mean (Oct 16 - 20)



Cloud fraction below 3km height

60°W

60°W

PBL scheme has less impact on SE Pac cld frac, LWP





0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9