NCEP/Climate Prediction Center ATLAS No. 11.

North American monsoon Model Assessment Project: (NAMAP)

Camp Springs, MD 20746 February 2004

D. Gutzler¹, H.-K. Kim², W. Higgins², H. Juang², M. Kanamitsu³, K. Mitchell⁴, L. Ritchie¹, J.-K. Schemm², S. Schubert⁵, R. Yang⁴, K. Mo², Y. Song², and P. Pegion⁵

- 1. University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM
- 2. Climate Prediction Center, NCEP/NWS/NOAA, Camp Springs, MD
- 3. Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD, La Jolla, CA
- 4. Environmental Modeling Center, NCEP/NWS/NOAA, Camp Springs, MI
- 5. Data Assimilation Office, NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Donald L. Evans, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Conrad C. Lautenbacher, Jr., Undersecretary National Weather Service John J. Kelly, Jr., Director

NAME Modeling and Diagnostic Activities

D. Gutzler

Univ. of New Mexico Albuquerque NM USA

NAMAP: integrating modeling and field activities in the North American Monsoon Exp.

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/research_papers/ncep_cpc_atlas/11/index.html

NAMAP timeline & protocol http://www.joss.ucar.edu/name/namap/



NAME Model Assessment Project

ACTIVITIES

Communique I Invitation to Participate. Communique II 29 March 2002 Communique III 2 May 2002 Communique IV Monthly Mean Archive (14 May 2002)

Instructions for Submitting Model Results ***

- NAMAP Questionnaire - Responses

- NAMAP Protocol

UCAR/JOSS NAMAP Data Management

UCAR/JOSS NAMAP Data Management System Project Page

NAMAP NCEP SST Boundary Conditions

NAMAP Verification Data (SWAMP CLASS RAOBS)

NAMAP protocol

- Focus on Tiers I, II
- Specify year (1990), SST
- Analyze monthly-averaged NAMS atmospheric and surface output
- Resolve monthly-averaged diurnal cycle in Tier 1

Goals

- Common control simulations
- Show common uncertainties and modeling challenges



NAMAP participating models/groups

Model	Institution / Group	Resolution	Moist Convection	
RSM	NCEP / Juang et al.	20 km / 28L	Arakawa-Schubert	
RSM	SIO ECPC / Kanamitsu	20 km / 28L	Arakawa-Schubert	
MM5	UNM / Ritchie	15 km / 23L	Kain-Fritsch	
Eta	NCEP / Mitchell & Yang	32 km / 45L	Betts-Miller-Janjic	
SFM	NCEP / Schemm	2.5×2.5°/ 28L	Arakawa-Schubert	
NSIPP	NASA / Schubert & Pegion	0.6×0.5°/ 34L	Relaxed A-S	
Lateral boundary conditions: Reanalysis				

Summer 1990 simulations

Regional

Global

ateral boundary conditions: Reanalysis SST: NOAA Olv2 1×1° weekly analysis Land surface treatments vary

Seasonal cycle of SWNA precipitation (observations: Higgins & Shi 1°×1° daily gridded fields)





[cm]



- Jun: dry north of 30°N
- Jul: month of maximum precipitation
- Aug: somewhat diminished continuation of monsoon

Total Monthly Precip. [cm] (Tier I)



All models generate a summer (Jul or Aug) precip max in both averaging areas

Both global models initiate monsoon rainfall later in the season (Aug max instead of July) ... Sensitive to soil moisture?





- No obs here! What is the "true" diurnal cycle?
- All models show convective max between 21Z-04Z
- How much nocturnal rain should be falling?





- Observed late-day convective max, esp in July
- How much do obs underestimate "true" total?





Large variability among models, also manifested in Bowen Ratio (SH/LH)





Huge differences again, analogous to results from the CORE average but with even larger amplitude



NAMAP Analysis: Some key points

- All models simulate a summer precip maximum; the two global models exhibit delayed monsoon onset (Aug instead of Jul)
- Precip diurnal cycle issues: magnitude of late-day convection, amount of nocturnal rainfall?
- Surface quantities (T, LH, SH fluxes) seem very poorly constrained; huge model differences (no validation data)
- Great Plains LLJ weakens after monsoon onset
- Low-level (slope?) jets occur -- but only weakly tied to NAME precip? Needs additional analysis, and close observation in 2004 field season



NAMAP Analysis: Metrics for model development

- Improved simulation of monsoon onset, especially in global models
- Goals for improvement of precipitation (total amount and diurnal variability) and surface flux simulations, tied to improvements in ground truth to be achieved from NAME 2004 field observations
- Questions regarding the structure of low-level jet circulations and their importance for proper precipitation simulation



Guidance for future modeling activity ... to be developed in breakout sessions

- Extend NAMAP-style activity as NAME 2004 field campaign gets underway
 - Establish baseline simulations of the 2004 summer monsoon season to promote sensitivity studies and model development efforts by each individual modeling group.
 - Link to model development research emphasizing the diurnal cycle of precipitation
 - Link modeling to enhanced observations of precip, low-level wind, and surface fluxes
- Expand participation
- Define needs for, and source of, support



NAMAP-2 issues to consider (1)

- Establish baseline simulations of the 2004 summer monsoon season
 - period to simulate: June-Sept 2004? Special IOP runs?
 - Extend analysis to examine *submonthly transient variability?*
 - Increase emphasis on *continental-scale variability (esp. Tier 3)?*
 - Carry out sensitivity studies (to SST, etc.) or leave such efforts to individual modeling groups?
 - Define specific indices or subregions to examine? For example, metrics defined in NAMAP Atlas
 - ... monsoon onset date
 - ... afternoon convective peak
 - ... amount of nocturnal precip
 - ... structure of Gulf of Calif LLJ
 - ... time-averaged surface fluxes (pre and post onset)



NAMAP-2 issues to consider (2)

- Link to model development research
 - merge or integrate NAMAP-2 with CPT effort?
 - hence enhance focus on the *diurnal cycle (of precipitation)?*
 - Link NAMAP-2 to *enhanced observations* of precip, low-level wind, and surface fluxes
- Expand participation
 - Entrain international participants (what incentives are needed?)
- Define needs for, and source of, support
 - possible OGP support ... for what/whom? proposal deadline this summer?

