# Numerical simulations of mountain waves and rotors observed during T-REX

Simon Vosper<sup>1</sup>, Peter Sheridan<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Mobbs<sup>2</sup> and Ralph Burton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Met Office, Exeter, UK <sup>2</sup>School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

#### Introduction

The Terrain Induced Rotor Experiment (T-REX) took place during spring 2006, over the Sierra Nevada mountains and Owens Valley. Results are presented from high-resolution numerical simulations of mountain waves and associated rotor motions observed during T-REX. The results are compared with airborne and ground based observations.

#### The simulations

The model used for this study is the Met Office Unified Model.

 Nested forecast simulations were performed with horizontal resolutions of 40 km. 12 km. 4 km. 1 km and 333 m. The vertical grid spacing used was 5 m at the surface, increasing to 200 m at 2 km.

Simulations of flows observed during IOP-6 and 8 are presented. Results are shown for the finest ( $\Delta x$ =333 m) resolution.

on 26 March.



24-26 March 2006
Run 1.1, 28 kft
5 Northern leg

118.2

Pop 1 2 29 14 Southern leg -118.8 -118.6 Northern leg -118.8 -118.6 118.2 -117.6 200 Milling



Fig 5: The model vertical velocity and that measured by the BAE-146 during flight B181, 26 March 2006.



Fig 7: Vertical velocity (ms<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\theta$  on cross section through Fig 6: Forecast vertical Independence.

## **Results for IOP-8** 31 March – 1 April 2006

### IOP-6 summary

velocity (ms-1) at 5 km

valid at 0200Z 1 April.

 Large amplitude lee wave present in simulations (vertical velocities >12 ms<sup>-1</sup>) Unsteady rotor motion present within Owens Valley. Wave breaking occurs above 14 km. Downslope winds penetrate into west side of valley. Return flow constrained to east side of valley.

•Near-surface winds exhibit jet structure near western foot of Sierras. Flow is highly unsteady and contains small-scale eddy motions. A fan-like jet is present to north of Bishop.

Comparisons with data from BAE-146 flight B181 show the model captures the amplitude, but not the phase of the mountain-wave motion. Comparison with University of Leeds AWS data shows model slightly underestimates unsteadiness in near-surface flow across the valley floor.

## **IOP-8** summarv

•Weak amplitude lee wave present in simulations (maximum w~7 ms<sup>-1</sup>) No downslope wind or rotor motion present. The near-surface winds are relatively steady and generally southerly near Independence. Reasonable agreement between forecast wave motion and that observed during the BAE-146 flight B184.

Comparison with Leeds AWS data shows model gives a good representation of the hourly mean wind speed and variability across the valley floor.



Fig 8: 10 m wind vectors and speed (ms<sup>-1</sup>) across the Owens Valley near Independence at 0200Z on 1 April.

Run 4.2, 19.1 kf Northern lea 118.8

Fig 9: The model vertical velocity and that measured by the BAE-146 during flight B184, 31 March 2006.



Fig 10: Hourly mean wind speed and standard deviation across the Owens Valley floor computed from Leeds AWS sites and model predictions at the same sites. Results are shown for IOP-6 and IOP-8

Met Office FitzRoy Road Exeter Devon EX1 3PB United Kingdom Tel: 01392 884584 Fax: 01392 885681 Email: simon.vosper@metoffice.gov.uk







