Water vapor in TORERO

Mark A. Zondlo

Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering Center for Mid-Infrared Technologies for Health and the Environment



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

Minghui Diao, Garnet Abrams, Loayeh Jumbam (Princeton) Stephan Feuglister; Eric Wood groups (Princeton); Leo Donner (NOAA GFDL) HIPPO, PREDICT, START08 Science Teams NCAR EOL Flight and Technical Crews

NSF AGS-1036275, NSF ATM-0840732, NASA NNX09AO51H



TORERO Science Workshop Boulder, Colorado

November 1, 2011



Cirrus clouds, RH_{ice}, and TORERO

1. What are the characteristics and environmental conditions of ice supersaturated regions in the eastern tropical Pacific?

2. Do ice supersaturated regions form in regions of recent deep convection?

3. What governs the distribution of water vapor, especially in the tropics?

4. What is the variability of water vapor in and removed from deep convection?

5. How can we link the cloud scales to model grid cell and satellite scales?

Cirrus in tropical eastern Pacific (Sassen et al., 2009)



Frequency of Occurrence

Comparison to PREDICT and HIPPO

HIPPO:

- N/S transect across mainly the central Pacific (all seasons)
- profiled boundary layer to stratos.
- 145 flight hours at T≤-40°C;
- N=1406 ice supersaturated regions



PREDICT:

- tropical, western Atlantic (Aug./Sept.)
- upper tropospheric dataset almost exclusively (130-200 hPa)
- 93 hrs at T≤-40ºC
- N=5550 ice supersaturated regions



January

Pressure-Latitudinal Distribution of H2O Mixingratio by VCSEL Hygrometer [HIPPO Global #1, Preliminary Data]



South Pacific Convergence Zone (15°S) > Intertropical Convergence Zone (5°N)

April



Pressure-Latitudinal Distribution of H2O Mixingratio by VCSEL Hygrometer

SPCZ is consistently large source of moisture to UT/LS Data consistent with evidence of cirrus cloud backtrajectories (Fujiwara et al., 2009) Other tracers do not show such large SPCZ (Mari et al., 2003)

June



August



November

Pressure-Latitudinal Distribution of H2O Mixingratio by VCSEL Hygrometer [HIPPO Global #2, Quicklook Data]



At any given time, there is tremendous variability in the distribution of water vapor including sharp horizontal gradients and large plumes extending vertically in all regions

ethylene, HIPPO #1 (E. Atlas)



xylene, HIPPO #1 (E. Atlas)



isoprene, HIPPO #1 (E. Atlas)



Ice supersaturation (ISS)



 $ISS = RHi - 1 = e / e_s - 1$

e: water vapor pressure (water vapor number density, air pressure)

e_s: saturated water vapor pressure wrt ice (temperature) (Murphy and Koop, 2005)

RH(ice)=120% same as supersaturation=20%

[To avoid mixed phase clouds, restrict analyses to ice supersaturation when $T \le -40^{\circ}C$]

Significance of ice supersaturation:

- 1. Birthplace of cirrus clouds (typically ~ 120-160% RH_{ice})
- 2. Controls the amount of water vapor into stratosphere (Peter et al., 2008)
- 3. Large radiative forcing (regionally 10s W m⁻²) (Fussina et al., 2007)
- 4. Improves ice cloud parameterizations (Gettelman et al., 2010; Salzmann et al., 2010)

ice supersaturation poorly measured in upper trop. / lower strat.
 antecedent conditions before cirrus cloud formation
 no measurements at cirrus clouds scales (~1 km, Wood et al., 2011)

Flight transect of typical ice supersaturation region



 RH_{ice} dominated by increase in H_2O , but is this representative?

Size distribution of ice supersaturated regions



- ice superaturated regions are very small (~ km)
- larger sized ice superaturated regions have higher supersaturations (bias in remote sensing data)?

How do ice supersaturated regions form?



Climate and cloud ice nucleation models form cirrus clouds by perturbing (lowering) the temperature field – but no observational basis!

(Spichtinger et al., 2005; Kärcher and Burkhardt, 2008; Morrison and Gettelman, 2008; Wang and Penner, 2010)

Ex: Ice supersaturated region

Examining only the dRHi into components from temp. and water vapor:



Environmental conditions of ISSRs (i.e. how do ISSRs differ from their adjacent environments?)



Water contribution: HIPPO: 90% START08: 84%

Increases in water vapor are the dominant reasons that distinguish ISSRs from their adjacent subsaturated environments

Subsaturated conditions dRHi, T≤-40°C



PREDICT: 94% START08: 89% HIPPO: 92%

 At fine scales (0.2-100 km), changes in water vapor – as opposed to temperature - dominate the spatial distribution of the relative humidity field
 Valid for both tropical and extratropical locations

Scale analyses of RH_{ice} variability



increasingly becomes important at larger scales

Aircraft / AIRS intercomparisons



Gettelman et al. 2004 compared satellite and aircraft data (±12 hrs; ~ 300 km) for pre-AVE (r²=0.83); also bias at < 10 ppmv

• VCSEL and AIRS with much tighter windows (<3 hrs; <150 km) show better agreement (r²=0.92) and no apparent bias (HIPPO)

• Link TORERO aircraft data to larger scales with AIRS/aircraft syntheses

Summary

1. Water vapor and ice supersaturation (HIPPO and PREDICT)

- Ice supersaturated regions are very small (km)
- Water vapor fluctuations strongly control the upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric relative humidity fields at cloud scales
- Satellite measurements are biased toward larger and higher ice supersaturation (*Diao et al., Nature*, in revision)

2. For TORERO, we expect the above results to also hold but we'd like to look at:

- Do ice supersaturated regions form in regions of recent convection? (use tracers) (Hypothesis #1, 3: deep convective transport; fast reactive species groups)
 Linking RH_{ice} variability measurements from cloud (sub-km) to 1000 km scales using aircraft (0.25-100 km) and AIRS H₂O/AMSU-B temp. (> 50 km) (overpass flights would be helpful)
- Identify if regions near deep convective entrainment/detrainment show higher variability than regions far from convection (e.g. Jim Bresch WRF)
- chemical ITCZ measurements? (short-lived tracers, not local sources)

NSF Gulfstream-V VCSEL hygrometer





93 hrs. of flight data at T < -40°C; N=5550 ice supersaturated regions (ISSRs)

Challenges

Calibrations (small enough to enclose for UT/LS conditions in temp. baths) Artifacts / biases in calibration play critical roles in accuracy



Example: Open vs. close-path sampling



time (s)

Closed-path TDL sensor shows damped response, variable time lags





Open-path detection: advantages and challenges

Open-path detection: gas sampled at ambient conditions, no sample handling

<u>Advantages</u>

sampling minimized no gas handling fast response

gases

no inlet delay issues no pumps (lower power) no phase re-partitioning

Challenges

spectroscopy over range of temp., pressure need to know T, P in optical path broad lineshapes, interferences from other

extreme, changing conditions calibration

mirror/optics need to be relatively clean (e.g. sea salt, dew/frost, bugs, mold)

Open-path is the best choice for "sticky" species, fast measurements (H₂O, NH₃, HNO₃...)

Essential for airborne-based platforms and in rapidly changing environments, especially at low mixing ratios where adsorption effects become a large unknown

histograms (VXL-UCATS)/UCATS*100

5000 -

4000 -

occurrences

Stratosphere-troposphere analyses of regional transport (START08)

62 hrs. of T<-40°C; N=531 ice supersaturated regions

Dataset: NSF HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations (HIPPO)

Slices of the atmosphere at global scales with *extremely* fine-grained resolution:

145 flight hours at T≤-40°C; N=1406 ice supersaturated regions

Analyses for AIRS / VCSEL intercomparisons

AIRS data: Level 2 standard product, v5

VCSEL: 5 s data; final data START08, preliminary data HIPPO Global #1

Criteria:

Distance: coincident, 22.5, 50, 100 ... 600 km

Time: coincident, 90, 120, 180 ... 1440

Constant pressure

Analyzed flights 3-18 of START08 (N. America, mid-latitudes) meridional transect of Pacific, HIPPO Global #1(RF3-7)

HIPPO #1: RF05, Hawaii to Samoa

Variations in time / space

e.g. RF04 in START08 (100-150 km away from flight) (98% land)

<u>Time (min.)</u>	\mathbb{R}^2	<u>N</u>
0-1	0.92	32
1-90	0.80	2600
90-180	0.76	1640

With greater ΔT , less correlation between AIRS and VCSEL

<u>Distance (km)</u>	<u>R²</u>	<u>N</u>
0-22.5	0.96	478
100-150	0.76	1640

With greater Δt and Δd , less correlation between AIRS and VCSEL (need aggregate data over all flights)

NSF Pre-Depression Investigation of Cloud Systems in the Tropics

This site is best viewed using Mozilla Firef This site is best viewed using Mozilla Firef

- tropical, upper tropospheric dataset exclusively (130-200 hPa)
- 93 hrs at T≤-40°C; N=5550 ice supersaturated regions

Depression Investigation of Cloud Systems in the Tropics (PREDICT) t. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands

e disturbances become hurricanes and others fall apart?

tropical, upper tropospheric dataset exclusively (130-200 hPa) 93 hours at T<-40°C; N=5550 ice supersaturated regions

Outline

1. Motivation for open-path systems

2. How do clouds form? A. NSF Gulfstream-V VCSEL hygrometer B. Fine-scale observations of cirrus clouds (START08, HIPPO, PREDICT)

3. Open-path QCL ammonia sensor
A. Experimental
B. CALNEX 2010
C. Is there a significant urban source?

ice H₂ ice particle nucleation

> ammoniated aqueous particle

AIRS supersaturation climatologies

regions from high-resolution aircraft data?