TORERO Science Objectives, Platforms and Activities

SA Earth Observations



Prof. Rainer Volkamer^{1,2}

© 2011 Google Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEECO 7:40'41.76" S 95:09'37.49" W elev -12623 ft

¹Dept. Chemistry and Biochemistry,

²CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder CO

- TORERO Motivation and Hypothesis
 - State of the Science
 - Synergistic aspects of experiment design
- Platforms and Activities:
 - NSF/NCAR GV aircraft (11 Jan – 22 Feb)
 - NOAA RV Ka'imimoana (21 Jan – 25 Feb)
 - Satellites: NASA A-Train (OMI, AQUA, MODIS), GOME2, SCIAMACHY, GOES-R/ABI

Glyoxal and IO over the open ocean?



VOCALS-REx + TAO cruises in 2008



Timing of the TORERO Experiment



- Pronounced annual ozone cycle (min <10 ppb) at Galapagos (right)
- Vertical distribution could be suggestive of deep convective transport
- Is low O3 in MBL controlled by changes in chemistry or transport?
- SST link may point to relevance of surface ocean dynamics?

Annual mean SST in the TORERO study area



Deep convective clouds (DCC) – CloudSat Cirrus clouds – CALIPSO



- Cirrus: 30 60% (North of 15N latitude); infrequent for forward deployment to Chile
- DCC: <10% chance during most flights; up to 15% chance off the Coast of Peru

Hypothesis #1: Ocean sources of oxygenated VOC (OVOC) and reactive halogen species (RHS) impact atmospheric composition in the MBL, and in the FT as a result of deep convective transport.

- Does the ocean provide a source or a sink of oxidants to the free troposphere?
- What is the vertical distribution of RHS, OVOC, reactive forms of mercury, and particles?
- Is iodine a source for new particles in the free troposphere?
- What can we learn about the chemical composition of cirrus clouds, and do they bias the satellite view of aerosols, and reactive gases?

Hypothesis #2: The gas fluxes across the air-sea boundary vary between the oligotrophic and mesotrophic ocean, and coastal upwelling.

- What are the horizontal gradients in concentrations from the coastal to the open ocean?
- Is the sea surface organic microlayer controlling the fluxes of RHS, OVOC, and CO2?
- What is the chemical speciation of RHS and OVOC, and how does it vary between different ocean environments?

Hypotheses #3: Reactive gases released from the ocean are relevant to chemistry and climate.

- At what rate is O3 destroyed, methane oxidized, and aerosol formed?
- What factors control the low BrO observed over the tropical Pacific Ocean?
- How relevant are ocean sources of OVOC and RHS on global scales?

Topic #1: Reactive Halogen Species

- Relevance of bromine: destroys tropospheric ozone, oxidizes mercury, impacts oxidative capacity (HOx)
 - Numerous studies have detected BrO in coastal-, arctic-, mid-latitude-, sub-tropical MBL; fewer studies in the tropical MBL and in the free troposphere
- Relevance of iodine: destroys tropospheric ozone, impacts oxidative capacity (HOx), can form new particles
 - Mostly coastal studies; numerous studies have detected IO in coastal-, antarctic-, and sub-tropical MBL; recent studies detect IO in the free troposphere



Leser et al., 2003

Holmes et al., 2009; Geos-Chem: ~ 2ppt BrO under most conditions

24

24

Topic #1: Reactive Iodine Species



only organic iodine gases as iodine precursors (biological source)

organic iodine ("open ocean") and additional flux of I₂

Jones et al., 2010; Mahajan et al., 2010

- Organic precursors alone are not sufficient.
- An inorganic iodine precursor?

lodine oxide over the open ocean



Strong gradients in the TORERO study area

INCREASING IO towards the oligotrophic ocean!



⇒ Anti-correlated with Chl-a => a non-biological source!
 ⇒ Opposite gradients inside the MBL than apparent from space ?!
 ⇒ RIS speciation over the open ocean remains unclear!

Topic #1: Reactive Halogen Species

Photo-redox chemistry: $I_2(CI_2,Br_2)$ CHL⁺/CDOM⁺ + I⁻ \rightarrow CHL/CDOM + I $I + I \rightarrow I_2$ $I_2 + hv \rightarrow 2I$ $I + O_3 \rightarrow (IO) + O_2$ OH, CI, Br, O₃ + DOC \rightarrow \leftarrow DOC Products

IO(g) CH₂CII, CH₂I₂ $l_2(g)$ O.(g) Gas Martino et al: 1000--DOM 10 CH₂CII, CH₂I₂ Sakamoto et al: concentrations 12 Interface 10 **Inhibited by** are very low Organics HOI 10, (E. Atlas, pers. comm.) Hayase et al. 2010

Martino et al., 2009, GRL; Reeser et al., 2009, JPC; Jammoul et al., 2009; Hayase et al., 2010, JPC

TORERO observations topic #1

- <u>NSF/NCAR GV</u>: speciation, radical source fluxes, radical abundances, rate of aerosol loss, horizontal and vertical distributions
 - RHS speciation (TOGA), J-values (HARP), BrO and IO radicals (CU AMAX-DOAS), aerosol surface area (UHSAS), nucleation mode (2-channel NMASS), Chl-a and CDOM (HARP - irradiances)
- <u>NOAA RV Ka'imimoana:</u> speciation, source fluxes (gradient method), radical abundances, diurnal variations over the oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and coastal ocean, vertical distributions (lower 1.5km)
 - Organic RHS speciation in water column and gas-phase (GC-MS), I2 and XY (denuders), BrO and IO radicals (CU SMAX-DOAS), IO (CU LED-CE-DOAS)

Topic #2: OVOC over the ocean

- Relevance of OVOC: affect oxidative capacity (HOx), are sink for bromine atoms, some OVOC add to SOA formation (repartitioning), aerosol-cloud interaction
 - VOC source of isoprene is estimated 1 Tg/yr
 - OVOC source 10 to 100 times larger, and can compete with land sources from VOC oxidation for acetaldehyde and glyoxal, possibly others? (Myriokefalitakis et al., 2008; Millet et al., 2010)
 - Source mechanism is not yet understood: solubility challenge for very soluble compounds (Zhou and Mopper, 1997; Sinreich et al., 2010)
 - => water soluble OVOC indicate missing processes
- Glyoxal indicates the rate of SML oxidation: SML can reduce CO2 uptake to the ocean

Glyoxal: solubility and air-sea partitioning



Cloud droplet: 90% of glyoxal_g will partition into the droplet Air-sea partitioning: shifted 10⁷ towards the ocean!
→ Glyoxal must originate from an airborne source !
→ Glyoxal flux is directed into the ocean! Zhou and Mopper, 1990, EST; Volkamer et al., 2009, ACP; Sinreich et al., 2010, ACP



Glyoxal is observed also over the oligotrophic ocean!
What is the source? What is the information content?

GC-MS measurements of VOC precursors

	VMR ave (ppt)	VMR max	Yield (%)	Glyoxal (ppt)	Collaboration w/ Alex Guenther,
	10	30	8	2 - 5	NCAR/ACD
	21	80	0.5	< 0.8	First isoprene measurements
\succ	2.4	13	8	< 0.2	in the study area
	1.1	7.6	< 4	< 0.6	with prediction by
	1.2	4.5	5	< 0.5	Σ Glyoxal
	0.8	2.1	NA		= 2-7 ppt
		VMR ave (ppt) 10 21 221 224 1.1 1.1 1.2 0.8	VMR ave (ppt)VMR max \checkmark 1030 $- \bigcirc$ 2180 \checkmark 2.413 \checkmark 1.17.6 \checkmark 1.24.5 \bigcirc 0.82.1	VMR ave (ppt)VMR maxYield (%) \checkmark 10308 $-\checkmark$ 21800.5 \checkmark 2.4138 \checkmark 1.17.6<4	VMR ave (ppt)VMR maxYield (%)Glyoxal

Isoprene and 9 monoterpenes were identified concentrations are low, i.e., can explain <10% of the glyoxal source in terms of secondary VOC chemistry. => Secondary VOC can not account for most glyoxal => Glyoxal source is from Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)!

Glyoxal: Indicator for surface DOC oxidation

Vertical diffusivity in the thermocline: 0.15 cm² s⁻¹ (Ledwell et al.)
Hydration rate: k_{hydr} = 7 s⁻¹ (Creighton et al., 1988)
Diffusion length scale: ~1.5 mm

Glyoxal source: Oxidation of surface organic microlayer (or fine sea spray aerosol)

Glyoxal indicates: widespread presence of a surface organic microlayer!

Enrichment factors

Measured parameter	Henry's Law H _{eff} [M/atm]	EF_SML	EF_Air
Total Dissolved Carbon (TDC)		1.0 – 4.0	
НСНО	3,700	8.9	43
СНОСНО	420,000	21.1	6720
CH₃COCHO	4,000	14.9	27
CH₃CHO	13	11.1	0.3

EF_X = Enrichment Factor = C_X / C_aq

Zhou and Mopper, 1990, Zhou and Mopper, 1997; this work

Glyoxal is assigned to indicate the rate of SML oxidation



 \Rightarrow Implications for CO2 fluxes into the ocean? \Rightarrow Spatial correspondence between OVOC and CDOM ?

TORERO observations topic #2

- <u>NSF/NCAR GV</u>: OVOC speciation, source fluxes, abundances, horizontal and vertical distributions
 - VOC and OVOC speciation and abundances (TOGA, AMAX-DOAS), J-values, Chl-a and CDOM (HARP), CO (Fluorescence?), CO2 and CH4 (Picarro)
- <u>NOAA RV Ka'imimoana</u>: Eddy covariance fluxes of CO2, and relaxed fluxed for Glyoxal (indicator for SML coverage), diurnal variations over the oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and coastal ocean, vertical distributions (lower 1.5km)
 - CO2 in gas-phase (Picarro, 13C?), and pCO2 in water
 - CHOCHO and aerosol extinction (CU LED-CE-DOAS)
 - Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP)
 - HCHO, CHOCHO (CU SMAX-DOAS) vertical profiles

Topic #3: Atmospheric Mercury



Is there a pool of reactive gaseous mercury, RGM, in the FT? Is there a hemispheric Br atom background in the FT?

Topic #3: Atmospheric Mercury



- Many high-altitude particles contained Hg! (Murphy et al., 1998)
- Few RGM measurements available in the FT.
- BrO ubiquitous in the FT? Uncertainties remain...

Fitzenberger et al., 2000; van Roozendael et al., 2002; Ebinghaus et al., 2002; Salawitch et al., 2005; Theys et al., 2007; Prados-Ramon et al., 2010; Heue et al., 2010; Coburn et al., 2011

TORERO observations topic #3

- NSF/NCAR GV: horizontal and vertical distributions of RGM, O3 and BrO
 - RGM (KCl denuders),
 - O3 (UV-absorption),
 - BrO radicals (CU AMAX-DOAS)

Topic #4: O3 and water vapor

- The paucity of ozone and water vapor data in the tropical FT presents an obstacle to gaining a wider perspective on the chemistry and climate effects of O3 and water vapor changes in the tropical FT (and lower stratosphere)
- NSF/NCAR GV: O3 and water vapor
- <u>NOAA RV Ka'imimoana</u>: O3/water vapor sonde launches?

Flight Planning

Discussion Remote Sensing

Instrument	Species / Parameters	Detection limit / Accuracy / Comment	Time / Space resolution	PI / Institution
CU AMAX-DOAS	IO BrO OCIO NO ₂ HCHO CHOCHO	0.1 ppt 1 ppt 0.7 ppt 10 ppt 120 ppt 3 ppt	Acquisition: 2-30 sec Profile scan: 1-5 mins Vertical resolution: ~ few 100 m– few km	Rainer Volkamer (CU Boulder)
HARP	Photon actinic flux: J ₀₃ , J _{N02} , J _{OVOC} , J _{RX} , J _{IxOy} , etc. Hyper spectral irradiance Surface albedo Cloud optical depth + Percent cloud cover Cloud/Aerosol eff. radius Single scattering albedo Asymmetry parameter	~ 9 % (280–680 nm) < 5 % (260–2217 nm) < 3 % ~ 3 % ~ 5 % ± 0.03 ~ 0.1	0.1 – 3 sec 1 sec inferred by difference from hyper spectral irradiance data	Sam Hall (NCAR/ACD) Sebastian Schmidt (CU Boulder)
GV-HSRL	Aerosol Backscatter, Depolarization, Extinction altitude profiles	1x10 ⁻⁹ m ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹ ~ 1% 2x10 ⁻⁸ cm ⁻¹	Acquisition: 0.5 sec Profile time: 3-5 mins Vertical res.: 7.5 m Range: 30 km	Ed Eloranta (U Wisconsin)
Microwave Temperature Profiler	Altitude temperature profile	1 K (near plane) < 2 K (within 6km from plane)	Profile scan: 18 sec Vertical resolution: ~150 m to few km	Julie Haggerty (NCAR/RAF)

Discussion in-situ instruments

TOGA-HIAPER	Alkylhalides (incl. CH ₂ X ₂ , CH ₂ XY, CH ₃ X, C ₃ H ₇ X) VOC (incl. DMS, C ₅ H ₈ , monoterpenes) OVOC (incl. CH ₃ CHO, DMSO, C ₂ H ₅ OH, acetone, MACR, MVK, acetonitrile)	0.1 - 1 ppt* 3 - 10 ppt* 3 - 10 ppt*	2 mins * Detection limits are a function of how many species are measured simultaneously. Upper limits: ~50 species	Eric Apel (NCAR/ACD)
O ₃	0 ₃	1.5x10 ¹⁰ molec cm ⁻³	1 sec	Ru-Shaun Gao (NOAA/ESRL)
VCSEL	Watervapor	<1 ppm	0.04 sec	Stuart Beaton (NCAR/RAF)
Hygrometers	Water vapor	±0.1°C	10 - 120 sec	EOL facility instrument
со	со	2 ppb	3 sec	Frank Flocke (NCAR/ACD)
CN / NMASS	Aerosol number (nucleation mode)	0.2 # cm ⁻³ (integral # / 6 and 15 nm cutoff)	1 sec	Dave Rogers (NCAR/RAF) Chuck Brock (NOAA/ESRL)
UHSAS	Aerosol size distribution	1 cm ⁻³ (60-1000 nm)	1 sec	EOL facility instrument
Cloud droplet probes	Cloud droplet size distribution	2-50 μm	1 sec	EOL facility instrument
Cloud 2-D imaging spectrometers	Distinguish ice and water droplets / Cloud droplet size distribution	18-640 μm 25-1600 μm	N/A	EOL facility instrument
DVR	Video stream	Forward view	1 sec	EOL facility instrument
Ozone/water vapor sonde launches from the surface (ship /Galapagos Island)	Water vapor O ₃ GPS Position Temperature Pressure	< 0.8 ppm < 3 ppb ~ 25 m < 0.5 K	Vertical res.: ~50m	Rainer Volkamer (CU Boulder) Holger Voemel (CIRES/DWD)

Synergistic use of remote sensing and in-situ observations Bridging Spatial Scales

- Variable time resolution translates into different spatial scales that are probed:
 - Example: HIAPER-TOGA (2mins) corresponds to 14km or 26km in the MBL and FT
 - AMAX-DOAS and HSRL: ~3-5 mins, corresponding to 25 to 65km
 - LIDAR vs passive remote sensor spatial mismatch!
- Resolution atmospheric models:
 - ~30km for WRF; ~15km for RAQMS (in RDF mode); ~60km for GEOS-Chem;
 - ~300km for RAQMS in forecast mode; ~300km for TM4-ECPL
- Satellite footprints:
 - ~13x24km2 for OMI;
 - ~30x60km2 for SCIAMACHY;
 - ~40x80km2 for GOME-2
- Ocean eddies: ~100 km, DCC features: ~50 to 300km; Cirrus: up to 1000km.
- The finest scale variability is expected in coastal stretches that will be sampled by flight legs parallel to the coast, with increasing distance to the coast (e.g., circles around Galapagos Islands).
- Vertical profiles consist of steep rises, alternating segments of climb/descent, and segments at constant flight altitude (~10mins) to allow for repetitive sampling by all instruments at any given altitude.

Annual mean SST in the TORERO study area



Chl-a during Jan/Feb 2009 in the TORERO study area

(mg/m²)

NASA Earth Observations neo.sci.gstc.nasa.gov

01 .03



2010 Google⁻

Eye alt 4680.99 mi 🔘

Annual mean cloudiness in the TORERO study area



Annual mean SST in the TORERO study area



Chl-a during Jan/Feb 2009 in the TORERO study area



Annual mean cloudiness in the TORERO study area

