Modeling Terrestrial Water Storage Variations with a Land Model

Guo-Yue Niu and Zong-Liang Yang, Department of Geological Sciences,The University of Texas at Austin. E-mail: niu@geo.utexas.edu

Surface runoff: $Qs = P F_{max} exp(-0.5 f Z_{wt})$

Baseflow: $Qb = Q_{max} exp(-f Z_{wt})$

Hypothesis:

- 1. Different representations of terrestrial hydrological processes in a land model for use in climate models may produce difference terrestrial water storage variations;
- 2. A land model can be improved through comparisons with the GRACE-derived terrestrial water storage variations;
- 3. Constrained by the GRACE-derived terrestrial water storage variation and runoff data, a land model forced by the observed precipitation data can produce more accurate evapotranspiration (ET).

A Modified Version of CLM:

1. A Simple Topography-based Runoff Model (SIMTOP) (Niu et al., 2005)

 where P is precipitation, Fmax is the maximum fractional saturated area, Zwt is the depth to the water table, and Qmax is the maximum baseflow when the water table depth is at the ground surface. Fmax is defined as the fraction of pixels with topographic index larger than the grid-cell–mean topographic index.

2. A More Permeable Frozen Soil Model (Niu and Yang, 2006)

- temperature below the freezing point).
- a. Added the supercooled, liquid water (the liquid water that coexists with ice over a wide range of
- b. Enhanced infiltration and percolation rates under the assumption that a fractional permeable area exists because of the presence of surface macropores.
-

Water Storage Variations in Tropical Regions

Figure 3. Water storage variations of three large river basins and three small river basins in monsoon regions.

Figure 4. Water storage variations of three large river basins and three small river basins in tropical regions.

Modeled Evapotranspiration (ET) Constrained by Water Storage Variations of GRACE in the Amazon River Basin

Conclusions

- Chen, J. L., M. Rodell, C. R. Wilson, J. S. Famiglietti (2005), Low degree spherical harmonic influences on Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) water storage estimates, Geophys. Res. Let., 32 (14): Art. No. L14 Niu, G.-Y., Z.-L. Yang, R. E. Dickinson, and L. E. Gulden (2005), A simple TOPMODEL-based runoff parameterization (SIMTOP) for use in GCMs, J. Geophys. Res. Vol. 110, D21106, doi:10.1029/2005JD0061 Effects of frozen soil on snowmelt runoff and soil water storage at a continental scale, J. of hydrometeorology, 7(5), 937-952. Niu, G.-Y., Z.-L. Yang, R.E. Dickinson, L.E. Gulden, and H. Su, 2006: A simple groundwater model for use in climate models - The impacts of groundwater dynamics on soil moisture and evapotranspiration, J. Geophys. Res. (su *(Available on line at http://www.geo.utexas.edu/climate/Research/publications.htm)*
- *Niu, G.-Y. and Z.-L. Yang (2006): Assessing a land surface model's improvements with GRACE estimates, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L07401, doi:10.1029/2005GL025555. Oleson, K.W. et al. (2004), Technical Description of the Community Land Model (CLM), NCAR/TN-461+STR, 174 p. [Available online at www.cgd.ucar.edu/tss/clm/distribution/clm3.0/index.html]. Rodell, M., P. R. Houser, U. Jambor, et al. 2004, The global land data assimilation system. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 85 (3), 381-394. Seo, K. W. and C. R. Wilson (2005), Simulated estimation of hydrological loads from GRACE, Journal of Geodesy, 78: 442-456. Shuttleworth, W. J., 1988: Evaporation from Amazonian rainforest, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., 233, 321-346.*

- The GRACE-derived terrestrial water storage change provides us with a new constraint for developing land models because it offers insights into the processes that control the terrestrial water storage variability.
- The modified CLM with a new treatment of runoff and frozen soil processes performs much better in simulating the seasonal variability of terrestrial water storage in global river basins of various spatial scales above 200,000 square km.
- By simulating more accurate water storage change and runoff, the modified CLM produces a favorable change in the modeled ET in the Amazon River basin in that it has a weaker annual cycle than does the standard CLM .

The modified CLM that produces more accurate runoff and water storage variations results in a favorable change in ET, whihc shows a weaker annual cycle than CLM. The Amazon ET is energy-limited and thus in phase of net radiation instead of precipitation (Shuttleworth, 1988)

References

- he negative changes in monsoon regions result from the monsoon-season precipitation in August 2003.
- The positive changes in tropical regions are mainly due to the fact that August is in a dry season.
- The Modified CLM compares more favorably with GRACE than the standard CLM.

The model is forced by the GLDAS observation-based surface hydrometeorological data (Rodell et al. 2004).

Figure 5. Three-averaged (a) runoff, (b) ET, (c) infiltration, (d) total soil water in the 3.43 m deep soil with the modified CLM and the standard CLM. The UNH-GRDC runoff climatology (GRDC), net radiation (RNET), and precipitation (PREC) are also included in (a), (b), and (c), respectively.

Modeled Terrestrial Water Storage Variations in Comparison with GRACE

Figure 1. GRACE-derived water storage changes between 2004APR and 2003AUG (2004APR minus 2003AUG). (a) GRACE, (b) the standard CLM, and (c) the modified CLM.

In clod regions, the positive changes result from the snow water and snowmelt water infiltrated into the soil in April 2004.

Water Storage Variations in Cold Regions

The GRACE data are from Chen et al. (2004) and Seo and Wilson (2005).

Figure 2. Water storage variations of three large river basins and three small river basins in cold regions.

Acknowledgements: This study was funded by NOAA Grant NA03OAR4310076, NASA Grant NAG5-10209, and NAG5-12577.